

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,632	SONG ET AL.	
	Examiner Khanh V. Nguyen	Art Unit 2817	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Khanh V. Nguyen.

(3) _____

(2) Mark Kirkland (Reg. No. 40,048).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 July 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4,14,15,21,24,34 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yang (6,710,657).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



KHANH V. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the Examiner and applicant's representative agreed that Yang's reference does not teach the configurations of claim 2 including the ~~out~~ node having the connection as claimed. Regarding claims 4, 24, 37 which already have the similar configurations as claim 2 and therefore they are not anticipated by Yang. Regarding to the independent claims 1, 14, 15, 21, 34, applicant's representative agreed to incorporate all the subject matters claimed in claim 2 to overcome the Yang's reference. Applicant's representative will submit the amendments.